

Department of Justice, Law and Society
School of Public Affairs
The American University

Fall 2008

ILS-333-001 – Law, Psychology and Justice

Mondays, 5:30 PM to 8:00 PM

SIS 15

Faculty: Dr. Jeffrey A. Schaler

Office: Ward 260

Telephone: 202.885.3667

E-mail: schaler@american.edu

<http://www.schaler.net>

Office hours: **(by appointment, by email)**

Final Exam on December 8, 2008, from 5:30 PM to 8:00 PM, in SIS 15

Course Description

[From the AU catalogue: “Examines psycho-legal research related to evidentiary issues in the criminal and civil justice process. Areas covered include accuracy of childhood testimony, eyewitness identification, judicial use of social science research, impact of non-adversarial versus adversarial expert testimony. Usually offered every spring.”]

Law: “That which is laid down, ordained, or established. A rule or method according to which phenomena or actions co-exist or follow each other. Law, in its generic sense, is a body of rules of action or conduct prescribed by controlling authority, and having binding legal force. . . . That which must be obeyed and followed by citizens subject to sanctions or legal consequences is a law. Law is a solemn expression of the will of the supreme power of the State.” (*Black’s Law Dictionary, 6th Ed.*)

Psychology: The study of mental processes and behavior.

Justice: “Proper administration of laws. . . . Commutative justice concerns obligations as between persons (e.g., in exchange of goods) and requires proportionate equality in dealings of person to person; Distributive justice concerns obligations of the community to the individual, and requires fair disbursement of common advantages and sharing of common burdens; Social justice concerns obligations of individual to community and its end is the common good.” (*Black’s Law Dictionary, 6th Ed.*)

Course description by Professor Schaler: Psychologists and psychiatrists are now major players in the game of law. The state recognizes their ability to give expert testimony on any number of matters pertaining to a defendant’s mental processes and behavior, guilt and innocence. In many ways, psychology and psychiatry have become extensions of law. Thus, do we live in what psychiatrist

Thomas Szasz termed a “therapeutic state,” the union of medicine and state that came to replace the theocratic state, the union of church and state. These “mental health professionals” testify about a defendant’s competence to stand trial, testamentary capacity, ability to differentiate between right and wrong, ability to conform his or her behavior to the dictates of law, memory and eyewitness identification, the likelihood of committing crimes and suicide, to name just a few areas.

If psychologists and psychiatrists are truly experts on the study of mental processes and behavior, we should be clear about what the words “mental processes” and “behavior” actually mean. The expertise of a psychologist or psychiatrist is different from expertise regarding toxicology, building design, the effects of tar on the lungs, pathology and brake failure of an automobile.

What are mental processes? We cannot help but think about what the mind is when we try to define mental processes. Our inquiry necessarily becomes philosophical. Mind is different from brain. For example, the mind is invisible. We use metaphors and symbols to describe and define the mind. The mind is not a tangible entity. We cannot touch it, see it, smell it, etc. In fact, there is no such *thing* as the mind. Think of this yet another way: The mind and behavior cannot be found in a cadaver at autopsy.

So what do people mean by mind and mental processes? Usually they mean what people do and the reasons for their behavior. Or, they mean the manipulation of symbolic representations of the world, what we call thinking. They mean the voices in one’s head that we normally refer to as cognition, deliberation, contemplation, problem-solving, and conscience. Desires, aversions, aspirations, duties and values are the reasons people do or do not do this or that.

What is behavior? Deportment, mode of conduct. Volitional activity. Just as we cannot define mind and mental processes independent of behavior, we cannot define behavior without speaking of mind and mental processes, in this case, “volition.” What is volition? “Voluntariness.” What we choose to do. What we want to do. Consider the truthfulness of the following statement: There is no such thing as an involuntary behavior.

Why are these definitions so important when it comes to studying law and justice? Because if there is no such thing as an involuntary behavior, or, if behavior is always volitional, then the meaning of responsibility stays more or less constant. If behavior can be involuntary, the meaning of personal responsibility changes.

In terms of the criminal law, two things must exist for a person to be held responsible for a crime: *Mens rea*, or “guilty mind,” “intent”; and *actus reus*, or “guilty act.” The *actus reus* is the physical aspect of a crime, whereas the *mens rea* involves the intent factor. Both must be present for a crime to occur.

Psychologists and psychiatrists have been instrumental in asserting that *mens rea* can be absent when a crime is committed, because of “mental illness.” (Insanity is a legal term, not a medical one.) Thus, we have the insanity defense and its variations. In other words, we may know for a fact that someone did something that most of us would consider a criminal act, yet psychological and psychiatric testimony can erode or nullify the element of intent or *mens rea*. A crime is committed and a person is regarded as not guilty by reason of insanity. In the insanity defense, a guilty person is declared innocent because of testimony regarding mental illness.

Similarly, the intention to commit a crime is not sufficient for culpability (conspiring to commit a crime notwithstanding). *Actus reus* must be present for a person to be found guilty of a crime. Psychologists and psychiatrists, testifying that a person is likely to harm himself or others before the fact, facilitate involuntary commitment to a mental hospital, again due to the idea of mental illness. This time a person who is obviously not guilty of a crime, is deprived of liberty *as if* he or she committed a crime. In involuntary commitment to mental hospitals, innocent people are deprived of liberty as if they were guilty of committing a crime.

The state deprives persons of liberty and justice, not psychologists and psychiatrists alone. There is a difference between consensual or contractual psychology and psychiatry, and institutional psychology and psychiatry.

These actions by psychologists and psychiatrists are significant when it comes to comprehending liberty and justice in our free society. Yet, many people do not understand how the idea of mental illness is used in such strategic ways, ways that ultimately circumvent basic constitutional protections. In this course, you will learn how the idea of mental illness is used in such strategic ways.

We will examine the nature of “mental illness” and how its legal corollary, “insanity,” is used by psychologists, psychiatrists and the courts, to deprive people of liberty and justice. We will examine opposing views on mental illness and criminal responsibility. Against the backdrop of contemporary psychology, we will examine the validity of eyewitness identification and child testimony regarding sexual abuse. We will discuss how schizophrenia and other mental illnesses are inventions, social constructions, not scientific or medical discoveries, and the purposes these inventions serve in diverse areas of society. We will examine the right to suicide and the ethics of suicide prevention. We will analyze how social science is used in the legal setting, examining conflicting claims about scientific and clinical findings, with particular attention paid to consequences for individual freedom and responsibility.

Course Objectives

- To learn about the nature and function of law and its relation to liberty and justice.
- To understand psychological and psychiatric ideas about abnormal behavior and their relation to the criminal justice system, with particular emphasis on predicting harm to others and harm to self.
- To become aware of how psychologists and psychiatrists function in court, and why there is inconsistency in expert testimony among mental health professionals.
- To comprehend the relationship between freedom and responsibility in public and legal policy.
- To become familiar with the consequences of state paternalism for individual freedom.
- To develop a comprehensive understanding of the moral basis of law.
- To deepen our understanding about psychological theories of memory and perception.
- To understand the difference between the mind and the brain.
- To comprehend key issues regarding the ethics of suicide.
- To acquire skill in debating these and related ethical issues.

Required Texts

- Schaler, J.A. (Ed.) (2004). *Szasz under fire: The psychiatric abolitionist faces his critics*. Chicago: Open Court. ISBN 0-8126-9568-2 paper
- Szasz, T. (2007). *The medicalization of everyday life*. Syracuse, NY: Syracuse University Press. ISBN: 13-: 978-0-8156-0867-7
- Edwards, R.B. (Editor). (Feb. 1997). *Ethics of psychiatry: Insanity, rational autonomy, and mental health care*. Amherst, NY: Prometheus Books. 2Rev Ed edition/ ISBN: 1573921130 (Make sure you have this edition and not an earlier one.)
- Szasz, T.S. (2002). *Fatal freedom: The ethics and politics of suicide*. Syracuse, NY: Syracuse University Press. ISBN: 0815607555

Plus, articles, chapters, and other readings handed out by the professor.

Note: Throughout this course you must abide by Virchow and Szasz's definition of a disease; meaning it refers to cellular abnormality, a physical lesion (wound). In other words, you must abide by a pathologist's definition of disease, not that of a mental health professional. This has nothing to do with denying the existence of disturbing, abnormal, or irrational behavior. We are simply abiding by the gold standard when it comes to defining and describing disease in the literal sense.

Paper: Double-spaced typed paper dealing with any way that psychiatry and the ideas of mental illness and mental health are used to deprive people of liberty. You base your paper primarily on the material in the first two books by Szasz, and add research from

other sources as you deem appropriate. You can disagree or agree with Szasz, it doesn't matter. Pick a topic and explore it. This is a research paper. You must have the following sections clearly indicated with these headings: Introduction; Operational Terms and Definitions (if needed); Literature Review; Discussion; Summary/Conclusion. Do not put your opinion in the literature review. Your opinion goes in the second half of the Discussion section, plus, Summary/Conclusion. You must use APA (American Psychological Association) format. Make sure your in-text citations are correctly cited as per APA, and your references in the end are EXACTLY APA format. No paper length—you decide—however, you must use the section headings. Further instructions will be handed out or talked about in class, if needed. There should be little need for further discussion. Everything is here. **Remember though: You must use the definition of disease as established by R. Virchow, and used as the gold standard by pathologists all over the world. This means that disease is of the body and consists of cellular abnormality, lesions, signs.** There is no such thing as the mind, therefore the mind cannot be sick, ill, or diseased. The brain can obviously be diseased. Brain and mind are different. Disease is something literal. Differentiate between literal and metaphorical disease. Metaphorical disease can only be “treated” metaphorically. The difference between metaphorical and literal disease is one of the most difficult concepts in this course, and it is one of the most important concepts to comprehend. Use your dictionary. Ask questions. Work to understand the difference. Once you “get” the difference, everything “comes together.” It doesn't matter whether you want to continue to believe that the mind can exist in a literal sense or not. You must use the gold standard when it comes to defining disease, that is, a disease is something physical, characterized by cellular abnormality and tissue destruction, and found in the cadaver during an autopsy. This is part of your exercise in analytic thinking.

NOTE: Your paper covers the readings in the first half of the course – again, you bring in outside references as you like. Your comprehension of the readings for the second half of the course is assessed through the final exam. The final exam covers the second two books, plus other readings, films, guest lectures that occur during the second half of the semester. There is no length set for the paper. You decide what is appropriate. You must have the sections listed as indicated. You will discuss your paper in class. You hand your paper in on the date listed below.

Please keep the following in mind when you write your paper:

- Your paragraphs must neither be too short nor too long. Each paragraph should be able to stand alone.
- You may not use Wikipedia for research.
- Make sure you have smooth and logical transition from sentence to sentence and from paragraph to paragraph.
- Make sure you keep people, possession, and time parallel. For example, if you begin a sentence speaking in the plural and present tense, don't follow up in the same sentence using the singular and past tense.
- Make sure each sentence is a complete sentence.
- Make sure you differentiate between factual material and your opinion. Your opinion is fine, however, do not express your opinion as if it is fact.
- If you cite a source within the text, make sure you use APA format. If you don't know what APA format is, go to the library or purchase the APA Manual of Style.

In-text citation is like this (Smith, 1969), and only like that. Not, (Smith, p. 46). It's always author's last name, year of publication, and if necessary, the page numbers, like this (Smith, 1969: pp. 12-15).

- Do not use footnotes.
- Do use www.dictionary.com and the link to the Oxford English Dictionary provided on BlackBoard.
- Do not cite material in the reference section that you did not cite in-text. Do not cite material in-text that you do not cite in the reference section. The reference section is on its own page.
- Make sure your name is on the first page. Give your paper a short and descriptive title. Make sure each page is numbered, centered, at the bottom of the page.
- Do not quote more than two lines of material. Paraphrase material in your own words, then reference it from the source it came from.
- Keep your writing and language formal, no colloquialisms.
- Use the following headings: Introduction (make sure you state the purpose of your paper here); Operational terms and definitions (list and define any that may be relevant and unfamiliar to a reader); Literature review (list what other people have found); Discussion (clearly state your opinion, don't present it as fact); Conclusion: (Summarize, list limitations and possible biases); References: APA style. Important

Final examination: The final examination will consist of multiple choice and/or essay questions focused on the material we study in the second two books and anything else we deal with in the second half of the course. You will be given the opportunity to express your own point of view on the many controversial issues we addressed.

- Group presentations: You will occasionally be assigned to a group and present on various assigned readings.

Class participation (CP): Further instructions or clarification for the assignment below will be presented in class. One post per week. This must be posted in the BlackBoard discussion board for the week indicated or labeled in the margin on the left of your BlackBoard home page for this course.

Directions: Each week you post one CP assignment for the appropriate week BlackBoard discussion board. There are three parts to one CP assignment or post. Title each CP post the following way and make sure your name is listed as it appears with the registrar: CP post, date, your name.

Inside your post you do this: You post a news item you've found on the Internet that is related to anything we've discussed in class or in the readings. You summarize the article briefly, put the url there so that fellow students can read the article you found, then you give your brief opinion of the article. Thus,

1. CP, your name, the date, in the subject area.
Title of article in the text area with the
URL of article, followed by

2. Your opinion of article/issue. You decide the length. You should present enough to establish a clear statement of your opinion.

3. Then, underneath this you write “Reply to (name of student you are replying to).” Here you post a response to the opinion written by someone else in class—their opinion in any post of theirs for that week. Please be polite and respectful. *Argumentum ad rem, no argumentum ad hominem.*

You complete this by Sunday night midnight, each week. Remember: This counts for twenty percent of your final grade.

Note: Clear and accurate writing—including accurate spelling on exams and papers—will be taken into account in assigning grades, as well as **participation in class discussions.** Material discussed in class, or in films, and not in any of the readings, may form the basis for questions on the examinations. **Exams must be taken on the dates assigned. One grade reduction for over three class absences.** Sign in at each class. Please be on time. No fault absence policy: This means no note is required for your absence, however, the penalty applies for over three absences, regardless of reason. Save the three you have without penalty in case you get sick or have a family emergency. Students are responsible for anything covered in class during their absence. Readings must be completed by the session to which they are assigned. Additional readings may be assigned during the course. Students are encouraged to form study groups on their own. You do the readings. You write down questions for discussion from the readings and lectures. I lecture on various points from the readings. I do not lecture on everything you read. You are responsible for the readings. This is different from high school. You could be tested on something that is part of the readings that I did not lecture on in class. Grades: A-=90, B+=89, B-=80, C+=79, C-=70. **Check your email for class readings, announcements, etc.** Check BlackBoard for announcements. Make appointment by email to come in to talk to Professor Schaler about anything.

Make sure you receive email through BlackBoard. Only your AU email address works. You can have your email to your AU account forwarded to another address if you want. However, it has to go to your AU email first. If a class is cancelled because I have to give a talk out of town, or because I am sick or have an emergency, I will make every effort to present a lecture for that class on BlackBoard. You are responsible for that lecture. I will send out an email directing you to the lecture on BlackBoard in place of my face to face lecture. I do have at least two important speaking engagements this semester that I must participate in. I’m not sure whether those will fall on the date of one or two of our classes, however, if they do, I will either schedule a guest speaker, a movie, or a lecture on BlackBoard. If you know someone who is in the mental health profession, who you think disagrees with much of what we are studying, and you think he or she would be interested in giving a talk to the class, please let me know. I always like to invite speakers from “the other side.” I frequently rely on students who have family members or friends who take issue with what you are learning. I would be happy to talk to them about coming in and speaking to our class. College is a lot about exploring and debating new ideas, new ways of viewing oneself and the world. I welcome diversity and different points of view. Much of what you will learn in this course is rarely presented in other

classes. In order for me to present these alternative ways of viewing disease and behavior, I have to present the “other side.”

Finally, I will be sending out occasional vocabulary lists. You may or may not be tested on the meaning of any of these words as part of the final exam. It is to your advantage to look up the meaning of each word and to familiarize yourself with its meaning. I may call on you in class at anytime to define the word or term.

Academic Integrity Code

"Standards of academic conduct are set forth in the University's Academic Integrity Code. It is expected that all examinations, tests, written papers, and other assignments will be completed according to the standards set forth in this code. By registering, you have acknowledged your awareness of the Academic Integrity Code, and you are obliged to become familiar with your rights and responsibilities as defined by the Code. Violations of the Academic Integrity Code will not be treated lightly, and disciplinary action will be taken should such violations occur. Please see me if you have any questions about the academic violations described in the Code in general or as they relate to particular requirements for this course."

CLASS SCHEDULE

Any topic is subject to change. Additional readings and articles will be sent out by email or be available to download on BlackBoard.

Date	Topic	Reading
August 25	Introduction	Lecture and discussion
	How to study psychology, psychiatry and the law; Synthetic and analytic truth; Schaler's "Three-Step Model of Policy Analysis" (TSMMPA);	Read The medicalization of everyday life, in its entirety.
Sept 1	Labor Day, no class	
Sept 8	Read intro and autobiography in	<i>Szasz Under Fire</i> (SUF) pp. xiii to 28 + Appendix
	Essay by Slovenko and reply by Szasz	SUF 139-178
	Start reading <i>Medicalization of Everyday Life</i>	As much as you can
Sept 15	<i>Medicalization</i>	Part I
Sept 22	<i>Medicalization</i>	Part II
Sept 29	<i>Szasz Under Fire</i>	Assigned readings
	And/or handouts – to be determined	
Oct 6	<i>Szasz Under Fire</i>	Assigned readings
	And/or handouts – to be determined	

Oct 13	<i>Szasz Under Fire</i> And/or handouts – to be determined Review	Assigned readings
Oct 20	Who owns your body?	
Oct 27	Suicide is not a crime; but it does violate mental health laws. What’s wrong with “physician-assisted suicide?” What’s the difference between Kevorkian’s ideas about suicide and Szasz’s ideas about the right to suicide? Do you have a “living will?” Why not? Let’s write one together.	
Nov 3	<i>Fatal Freedom</i>	ix to62
Nov 10	<i>Fatal Freedom</i> Essay by Battin and Spellecy and reply by Szasz	63-138 SUF 277-300
Nov 17	Edwards: <i>Ethics of Psychiatry</i>	Assigned readings
Nov 24	Edwards: <i>Ethics of Psychiatry</i>	Assigned readings
Dec 1	Edwards: <i>Ethics of Psychiatry</i>	Assigned readings
Last class	Schaler: <i>Szasz Under Fire</i> Schaler: <i>Szasz Under Fire</i>	Assigned readings Assigned readings
Dec 8 PM	Final examination	5:30 PM to 8:00